

Wood Sourcing Policy Table

In order to stress test the wood sourcing policies of the companies featured in this issue we have developed a scoring mechanism, which is broken down in the table below. Companies were awarded marks out of ten for having critical elements of a robust policy in place.

Company	Has a timber sourcing policy	Excludes all illegal and unknown sources	Clear implementation of policy	Clear goals for removing problematic timber from supply chain e.g. targets	Good minimum standards	Preference given to certified sources	Percentage tropical hardwoods FSC certified	High proportion of all wood FSC certified e.g. over 50%	Multistakeholder initiatives/bridging schemes	Recycled/reclaimed wood used	Score out of 10	Ethical Consumer Rating
B&Q	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	Yes (90%)	TFT WWF-FTN	Yes	10	Best
Blue Diamond	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	Yes (100%)	None stated	Yes	9	Best
John Lewis*	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	Not yet, target to achieve 50% by 2015	Yes*	Yes	9	Best
IKEA	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	100%	No (24%)	WWF-FTN & Rainforest Alliance	Yes	8	Best**
M&S	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not stated	Yes	100%	Yes (53%)	WWF-FTN & Rainforest Alliance	Yes	9	Best
Notcutts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	All bar 12% which is Tropical Forest Trust	Yes (88%)	TFT	Not stated	8.5	Best
Focus	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not stated	Not stated	Yes	"Almost all"	Yes (60%)	WWF-GFTN	Not stated	6.5	Best
House of Fraser	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not stated	Not stated	None stated	Not stated	6	Best
Argos	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not stated	Yes	Either certified or from a bridging scheme (TFT or WWF TFN)	No (49%)	WWF-FTN, TFT	Not stated	5.5	Middle
Homebase	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not stated	Yes	Either certified or from a bridging scheme (TFT or WWF TFN)	No (49%)	WWF-FTN, TFT	Not stated	5.5	Middle
Dobbies	Yes	No	Yes	Not stated	Not stated	Yes	100%	Not stated	None stated	Yes	5	Middle
Squires	Yes	No	Yes	Not stated	Not clearly stated	Yes	Not clearly stated	Yes (60 - 70%)	TFT	Not stated	5	Middle
Wickes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Not stated	Yes	Not stated	Yes (84%)	None stated	Not stated	5	Middle
Harveys	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not stated	Not clearly stated	No	Not stated	Not stated	None stated	Not stated	3	Middle
Next	Yes	No	No	Not stated	Not stated	No	100%	Not stated	Forest Footprint Disclosure Project	Not stated	3	Middle
Tesco	Yes	No	No	Not stated	Not stated	No	Not stated	Not stated	None stated	Not stated	1	Worst

Hall of Shame

Also getting a Worst rating on the table, but with a score of 0, are the following companies: Bensons For Beds, BrightHouse, Capital Gardens, Country Homes and Gardens, CSL, DFS, Dreams, Dunelm Mill, The Futon Company, Furniture Village, Garden & Leisure, The Garden Centre Group, Habitat, Heals, Hillier, Homestyle, Klondyke Garden Centres, Laura Ashley, Multyork, The Range, Selfridges, SCS Sofas and Sharps. They all sell wood products but did not reply to Ethical Consumer's request for information and had no publicly available information on their timber sourcing policies on their websites.

* John Lewis acted in an advisory role at February's Chatham House industry forum on illegal logging and currently has a member of its team in Indonesia with the Department for International Development to engage with local government, timber producers and forest owners in advance of the forthcoming EU timber regulations.

** See IKEA entry in Company Profiles